## BOARD OF REGENTS BRIEFING PAPER

1. Agenda Item Title: UNR Institutional Review and ACTA Ten

Questions Presentation to the Board of Regents
Meeting Date: $\quad$ March 5-6, 2015

## 2. BACKGROUND \& POLICY CONTEXT OF ISSUE:

The Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education has asked each of its institutions to answer ten questions recommended by the American Council of Trustees and Alumni along with institutional performance metrics of accountability. The University of Nevada, Reno will present a PowerPoint presentation with supporting materials.

## 3. SPECIFIC ACTIONS BEING RECOMMENDED OR REQUESTED:

At the January 23 Board workshop, the Board members supported the suggestion of scheduling a review of two institutions per quarterly meeting focusing on performance metrics based on the ten questions trustees should ask set forth by the American Council of Trustees and Alumni (available on line at: http://www.goacta.org /images/download/10_Questions_Trustees_Should_Ask.pdf). The Board officers will ask each institution to address only those questions applicable to the respective institution.

## 4. IMPETUS (WHY NOW?):

Chairman Page and Vice Chairman Trachok have asked that University of Nevada, Reno and Nevada Southern College be scheduled for the March Board meeting to give the board the opportunity to have a focused discussion regarding each of these institutions at the March meeting.

## 5. BULLET POINTS TO SUPPORT REQUEST/RECOMMENDATION:

The University of Nevada, Reno (University) is a high-performance university which improves continuously. The University is ranked by the U. S. News and World Report as a top tier national research university in its "Best Colleges" edition annually. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching classifies the University as a "Comprehensive Doctoral, Arts and Sciences/Professions-Balanced, High Research University." In terms of the University's impact on the economy of Nevada, it is a halfbillion dollar non-profit enterprise and one of northern Nevada's largest employers, serving as a base industry bringing economic resources into the economy with research grants and tuition dollars, providing well-paying jobs, creating human capital with university degrees, generating new knowledge some of which is commercialized, and attracting industry to the state.

The University is held accountable to perform well the missions of learning, discovery
and community engagement. The University of Nevada, Reno has weathered the "Great Recession" by focusing effort on fewer programs to maintain strong momentum through the financial crisis. The University has achieved accountability metrics at record levels in the University's history with great prospects for continuing to improve performance. These accountability metrics show that the University is increasing in attractiveness to well-qualified students of all backgrounds, that graduation rate is growing and time to graduation is shortening, research is growing, community recognition and support is growing, and national recognitions bring a positive reputation to Nevada.

Rapid growth brings challenges:

- The University must attract and retain a rapidly-growing number of highperforming faculty and staff in an environment with deteriorating, comparative salaries and aging laboratories.
- The University must grow its number of graduates in Master’s and Doctoral degrees.
- The University must grow its quantity and quality of teaching, research and office space.

These challenges must be met in order to achieve the Regents-endorsed major goals:

- Increasing enrollment to nearly 25,000 by 2020.
- Achieving a level of research equivalent to Carnegie "Research University/Very High."
- Reducing the Student/Faculty Ratio from more than 22-to-1 to 18-to-1.
- Serving as a pillar of economic development for Nevada.

It is in this context of record performance, great challenges, and aggressive goals that the University of Nevada, Reno addresses the ten questions recommended by the ACTA.

## 6. POTENTIAL ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE REQUEST/RECOMMENDATION:

No action is requested nor are recommendations being made.

## 7. ALTERNATIVE(S) TO WHAT IS BEING REQUESTED/RECOMMENDED:

No action is requested nor are recommendations being made.

## 8. COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD POLICY:



# Ten Questions Trustees Should Ask (ACTA) University of Nevada, Reno March 6, 2015 

The Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education has asked each of its institutions to answer ten questions recommended by the American Council of Trustees and Alumni along with institutional performance metrics of accountability. The University of Nevada, Reno (University) is a high-performance university which improves continuously. The University is ranked by the U. S. News and World Report as a top tier national research university in its "Best Colleges" edition annually. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching classifies the University as a "Comprehensive Doctoral, Arts and Sciences/Professions—Balanced, High Research University." In terms of the University's impact on the economy of Nevada, it is a half-billion dollar nonprofit enterprise and one of northern Nevada's largest employers, serving as a base industry bringing economic resources into the economy with research grants and tuition dollars, providing well-paying jobs, creating human capital with university degrees, generating new knowledge some of which is commercialized, and attracting industry to the state.

The University is held accountable to perform well the missions of learning, discovery and community engagement. The University of Nevada, Reno has weathered the "Great Recession" by focusing effort on fewer programs to maintain strong momentum through the financial crisis. The University has achieved accountability metrics at record levels in the University's history with great prospects for continuing to improve performance:

> Enrollment: fall, 2014--19,934, a record
> Freshman to sophomore retention rate: fall, 2013 to fall, 2014--82.2\%, a record
> Average freshman ACT: fall, 2014--23.4, a record
> Average credit load: fall, 2014--13.4, a record
> Enrollment percentage of color: fall, 2014--34\%, a record
> Enrollment percentage Hispanic: fall, 2014--17\%, a record
> Number of National Merit, National Achievement and Presidential Scholars: fall, 2014--309, a $\quad$ record
> 6-year graduation rate: 2008 cohort--55\%, a record
> 4-year graduation rate: 2010 cohort--22.6\%, a record
> Number of degrees awarded: AY2013-14--3,528, (second highest ever after AY2012-13 at 3,549)
> Courses attempted which were completed with a passing grade: AY2013-14--95\%, a record Grant and Contract expenditures: FY'14--\$89 million, a record in years without federal earmarks Philanthropic giving: FY'14--\$54 million, a record
> U. S. News and World Report ranking: $2010-2014$ Tier 1

These accountability metrics show that the University is increasing in attractiveness to well-qualified students of all backgrounds, that graduation rate is growing and time to graduation is shortening, research is growing, community recognition and support is growing, and national recognitions bring a positive reputation to Nevada.

Rapid growth brings challenges:

- The University must attract and retain a rapidly-growing number of high-performing faculty and staff in an environment with deteriorating, comparative salaries and aging laboratories.
- The University must grow its number of graduates in Master's and Doctoral degrees.
- The University must grow its quantity and quality of teaching, research and office space.

These challenges must be met in order to achieve the Regents-endorsed major goals:

- Increasing enrollment to nearly 25,000 by 2020.
- Achieving a level of research equivalent to Carnegie "Research University/Very High."
- Reducing the Student/Faculty Ratio from more than 22-to-1 to 18-to-1.
- Serving as a pillar of economic development for Nevada.

It is in this context of record performance, great challenges, and aggressive goals that the University of Nevada, Reno addresses the ten questions recommended by the ACTA.

## Question 1: Tuition over the last five years: Has it exceeded the annual Consumer Price Index? Has it increased as a percentage of median household income.

Registration fees rose during the "Great Recession" as state funding for higher education plummeted and by agreement with the Governor and legislative bodies of Nevada, students and families shared in the preservation of higher educational opportunities with increased registration fees while state funding dropped and programs were closed. Thus, registration fees rose significantly from 2010-2013 and then stabilized in 2014 and 2015 before rising again at 4 percent per year for FY'16-'19. General inflation remained low through the period and median family income fell during the period and only now has started to recover. Therefore, registrations fee increases have exceeded general inflation and median family income significantly.

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Total Increase $(2010-2015)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate Registration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees | 154.5 | 168.75 | 189.25 | 203.5 | 203.5 | 203.5 |  |
|  |  | 9.2\% | 12.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
| CPI-U* |  | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 |  |
| Median Family Income ** | 60,192 | 56,544 | 56,954 | 59,462 |  |  |  |
|  |  | -6.1\% | 0.7\% | 4.4\% |  |  |  |
| State of Nevada General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocation | \$186.2M | \$175.4M | \$146.0M | \$145.1M | \$144.9M | \$148.7M |  |
| *Bureau of Labor Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** US Census Bureau |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Question 2: The ratio of administrative vs. instructional spending over the last 10 years: Has the growth of administrative spending exceeded that of instructional spending? Why?

The table comparing instructional and administrative spending over the last decade shows that the proportion of the University of Nevada, Reno budget (the core university budget only, excluding separate budget lines) spent on instruction is stable at 65 percent and spending on administration is stable at 35 percent. Instruction includes budget categories directly serving students of "instruction, academic support, and student services."

| Instruction/Academic <br> Support/Student <br> Services | 97,745,268 | 105,089,808 | 108,445,897 | 113,099,296 | 105,749,421 | 104,250,616 | 97,616,637 | 97,112,814 | 99,086,758 | 107,048,821 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative | 53,856,407 | 55,475,113 | 58,994,431 | 62,294,045 | 55,626,829 | 56,029,524 | 54,708,003 | 53,419,042 | 55,600,568 | 56,882,169 |
| Instruction/Academic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support/Student Services | 64\% | 65\% | 65\% | 64\% | 66\% | 65\% | 64\% | 65\% | 64\% | 65\% |
| Administrative | 36\% | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 34\% | 35\% | 36\% | 35\% | 36\% | 35\% |



|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INSTRUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag, Biotech, Natural Resources | 3,108,411 | 3,284,994 | 3,725,741 | 3,889,396 | 3,613,381 | 2,871,271 | 2,680,145 | 2,870,298 | 3,087,921 | 3,549,539 |
| Business | 7,175,766 | 7,611,007 | 8,167,877 | 8,594,441 | 7,959,894 | 8,151,533 | 7,858,798 | 7,887,389 | 8,373,722 | 9,038,079 |
| Division of Health Sciences | 8,047,579 | 8,648,100 | 8,604,490 | 7,413,022 | 8,067,707 | 7,729,601 | 7,622,533 | 7,628,824 | 8,053,338 | 8,683,400 |
| Education | 6,435,108 | 6,674,120 | 7,153,660 | 7,831,387 | 7,035,495 | 5,942,216 | 5,299,090 | 5,324,279 | 5,573,338 | 6,300,511 |
| Engineering | 8,308,291 | 8,866,348 | 9,452,135 | 10,212,912 | 10,017,792 | 10,055,607 | 9,850,395 | 10,010,470 | 10,655,195 | 11,607,607 |
| Interdisciplinary Studies | 661,289 | 686,213 | 663,740 | 1,726,692 | 560,190 | 656,022 | 648,844 | 348,389 | 360,726 | 347,701 |
| Journalism | 1,223,935 | 1,466,537 | 1,551,682 | 1,615,732 | 1,575,845 | 1,617,515 | 1,493,174 | 1,534,617 | 1,684,356 | 1,876,810 |
| Liberal Arts | 19,429,787 | 20,553,872 | 22,992,404 | 24,655,873 | 24,856,842 | 24,563,836 | 23,842,042 | 24,293,566 | 25,490,727 | 26,835,769 |
| Science | 14,690,179 | 15,490,895 | 17,023,065 | 17,468,557 | 17,608,989 | 17,402,393 | 17,081,207 | 17,401,940 | 14,424,700 | 19,557,512 |
| Instructional Support | 3,945,425 | 3,689,924 | 3,106,659 | 2,864,872 | 1,940,922 | 3,118,464 | 4,070,387 | 4,551,881 | 4,906,673 | 2,441,877 |
| TOTAL INSTRUCTION | 73,025,770 | 76,972,010 | 82,441,453 | 86,272,884 | 83,237,057 | 82,108,458 | 80,446,615 | 81,851,653 | 82,610,696 | 90,238,805 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ACADEMIC SUPPORT | 16,724,880 | 19,463,018 | 16,766,910 | 17,389,809 | 15,249,026 | 15,085,453 | 11,082,594 | 8,834,330 | 9,198,504 | 9,100,927 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL STUDENT SERVICES | 7,994,618 | 8,654,780 | 9,237,534 | 9,436,603 | 7,263,338 | 7,056,705 | 6,087,428 | 6,426,831 | 7,277,558 | 7,709,089 |

ADMINISTRATIVE

| Presidents Office | 2,691,369 | 2,864,027 | 3,684,590 | 3,119,991 | 2,751,736 | 2,784,756 | 2,665,328 | 2,772,843 | 3,230,648 | 3,400,438 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Vice President and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provost | 1,108,973 | 971,683 | 1,096,754 | 1,177,328 | 1,110,729 | 1,119,580 | 1,165,307 | 1,074,835 | 1,084,954 | 1,129,236 |
| Dean Ag, Biotech, \& Natural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources | 323,029 | 374,957 | 443,770 | 423,721 | 394,239 | 224,567 | 255,264 | 277,718 | 248,674 | 418,056 |
| Dean, Business | 501,329 | 549,139 | 768,009 | 880,145 | 1,039,371 | 760,075 | 735,712 | 810,675 | 841,612 | 944,320 |
| Dean, Division of Health Sciences | 761,047 | 650,828 | 710,415 | 595,239 | 783,608 | 891,446 | 623,053 | 767,609 | 786,902 | 546,356 |
| Dean, Education | 281,290 | 337,047 | 372,005 | 492,813 | 486,092 | 247,788 | 933,150 | 942,966 | 923,905 | 781,934 |
| Dean, Engineering | 606,200 | 576,541 | 617,658 | 927,701 | 734,800 | 1,031,184 | 1,000,338 | 1,024,970 | 1,043,591 | 1,136,864 |
| Dean, Journalism | 209,651 | 219,738 | 263,241 | 319,850 | 273,533 | 275,592 | 270,628 | 272,301 | 296,700 | 298,468 |
| Dean, Liberal Arts | 655,499 | 690,902 | 793,210 | 833,471 | 833,548 | 841,166 | 822,841 | 828,205 | 843,698 | 1,237,097 |
| Dean, Science | 755,969 | 1,078,316 | 1,159,809 | 1,181,278 | 998,219 | 1,293,476 | 1,422,030 | 1,486,220 | 1,424,796 | 1,795,875 |
| Dean, Graduate School | 610,602 | 622,744 | 697,200 | 750,910 | 451,984 | 411,646 | 376,382 | 417,925 | 696,804 | 665,473 |
| Information Technology | 5,195,753 | 5,339,193 | 5,575,315 | 5,420,667 | 4,941,248 | 5,036,062 | 6,687,792 | 6,674,883 | 6,608,495 | 5,971,305 |
| VP Extended Studies | 16,113 | 17,058 | 23,838 | 19,454 | 19,328 | 19,472 | 19,114 | 19,305 | 19,744 | 20,213 |
| VP Student Services | 359,672 | 432,021 | 468,475 | 546,015 | 835,047 | 811,329 | 856,488 | 1,035,580 | 650,639 | 670,220 |
| VP Development \& Alumni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relations | 3,042,307 | 3,466,680 | 3,708,781 | 3,987,880 | 3,281,470 | 3,315,528 | 3,265,750 | 2,873,853 | 4,100,750 | 4,399,174 |
| VP Research \& Innovation* | 555,779 | 609,837 | 680,349 | 690,185 | 657,150 | 629,955 | 518,023 | 465,319 | 753,903 | 914,408 |
| VP Administration \& Finance | 36,181,825 | 36,674,402 | 37,931,012 | 40,927,397 | 36,034,727 | 36,335,902 | 33,090,803 | 31,673,835 | 32,044,753 | 32,552,732 |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE | 53,856,407 | 55,475,113 | 58,994,431 | 62,294,045 | 55,626,829 | 56,029,524 | 54,708,003 | 53,419,042 | 55,600,568 | 56,882,169 |


| Scholarships | 5,528,249 | 5,528,249 | 5,654,481 | 5,942,623 | 6,442,623 | 7,292,623 | 7,748,562 | 7,753,274 | 7,949,012 | 8,274,088 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research | 1,463,170 | 1,522,209 | 1,529,452 | 1,031,633 | 793,006 | 905,068 | 666,191 | 677,138 | 1,155,138 | 545,138 |
| Public Service | 112,638 | 105,739 | 110,001 | 122,256 | 124,184 | 134,976 | 185,212 | 162,115 | 162,121 |  |
| Utilities | 8,868,791 | 10,109,350 | 10,297,350 | 11,547,387 | 11,711,418 | 11,100,000 | 9,550,000 | 9,400,000 | 9,400,000 | 9,400,000 |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,326,561)$ | (6,922,000) | $(6,922,000)$ | $(6,922,000)$ |
| Reserves | $(1,784,639)$ | $(1,827,256)$ | $(2,010,796)$ | 2,475,317 | $(1,383,691)$ | $(1,383,691)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (12,393,308 } \\ & \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | (4,477,150) | $(8,140,381)$ | ${ }^{(13,992,289}$ |
| TOTAL STATE APPROPRIATED BUDGET | $\begin{aligned} & 165,789,88 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176,003,21 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183,020,81 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 196,512,55 } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179,063,79 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 178,329,11 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 153,754,73 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157,125,23 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158,291,21 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161,235,92 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |

* The President's Office includes General Counsel, Faculty Senate, Diversity Initiatives, Integrated Marketing, and Media Relations
** VP Research \& Innovation includes the Office of Sponsored Projects
Administration

| Academic Support Reductions | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Libraries | $(1,182,000)$ | $(921,000)$ | $(1,855,000)$ |
| Teaching \& Learning Tech | $(414,000)$ | $(118,000)$ |  |
| International Student \& Scholars | $(77,000)$ | $(188,000)$ |  |
| Campus Computing | $(197,000)$ | $(2,240,000)$ |  |
| Learning Resource Center | $(123,000)$ |  |  |
| School of the Arts | $(133,000)$ | $(178,000)$ |  |
| Provost Academic Bridge |  |  | $(483,000)$ |
| University Assesment |  | $(441,000)$ |  |
|  | $(2,126,000)$ | $(4,086,000)$ | $(2,338,000)$ |


|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 | FYO9 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INSTRUCTION | 73,025,770 | 76,972,010 | 82,441,453 | 86,272,884 | 83,237,057 | 82,108,458 | 80,446,615 | 81,851,653 | 82,610,696 | 90,238,805 |
| ACADEMIC SUPPORT | 16,724,880 | 19,463,018 | 16,766,910 | 17,389,809 | 15,249,026 | 15,085,453 | 11,082,594 | 8,834,330 | 9,198,504 | 9,100,927 |
| STUDENT SERVICES | 7,994,618 | 8,654,780 | 9,237,534 | 9,436,603 | 7,263,338 | 7,056,705 | 6,087,428 | 6,426,831 | 7,277,558 | 7,709,089 |
| INSTRUCTION/ACADEMIC SUPPORT/STUDENT SERVICES | 97,745,268 | 105,089,808 | 108,445,897 | 113,099,296 | 105,749,421 | 104,250,616 | 97,616,637 | 97,112,814 | 99,086,758 | 107,048,821 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE | 53,856,407 | 55,475,113 | 58,994,431 | 62,294,045 | 55,626,829 | 56,029,524 | 54,708,003 | 53,419,042 | 55,600,568 | 56,882,169 |

## Question 3: What is our building utilization, Monday through Saturday, and during the summer?

Classroom utilization is high from 9:00 am to $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$, Monday through Thursday with lower utilization at other times. The recent Capacity Study, done to determine how the University would absorb the rapid growth of enrollment, concluded that classroom capacity was sufficient to absorb head-count enrollment of 22,000 students with more robust scheduling and classroom quality improvements (such as converting remaining classrooms to "smart" classroom.

The time pattern of use of class laboratories is similar to that of classrooms, but show significant capacity to handle increases in enrollment.

The Capacity Study revealed that the most challenging space issues relate to research laboratories and office for the projected rapid growth in faculty positions. In order to serve 25,000 students by 2020 , reduce the Student/Faculty ratio to 18-to-1, and markedly grow research and graduate education, the University projects the need for an additional 420 faculty positions (at least 300 of these in tenure-track positions), 315 Graduate Teaching Assistants, and 80 staff positions. This is projected to require more than 500 additional office spaces and 80,000 square feet of new laboratory space. Significant building construction and renovation plans are progressing. The most significant need is for a new engineering building to serve the most rapidly growing college and the one most often mentioned as the basis for professional workforce development to support industry attraction to Nevada. Space will be needed in every college of the University.

## Nevada System of Higher Education <br> Instructional Utilization Report <br> PERCENT OF CLASSROOMS IN USE PER CAMPUS BY DAY AND TIME <br> Fall 2013 / FY 2014 <br> University of Nevada Reno - Main Campus

| For all sections occurring 9/9/2013-9/15/2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAY | $8: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | 9:00mm | 10:00am | 11:00mm | 12:00pm | 1:00pm | 2:00pm | 3:00pm | 4:00pm | 5:00pm | 6:00pm | 7:00pm | 8:00pm | 9:00pm | 10:00pm |
| MONDAY | 33\% | 67\% | 73\% | 79\% | 40\% | 79\% | 81\% | 75\% | 66\% | 54\% | 46\% | 22\% | 13\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| TUESDAY | 40\% | 77\% | 85\% | 90\% | 19\% | 85\% | 69\% | 67\% | 70\% | 60\% | 52\% | 26\% | 9\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| WEDNESDAY | 33\% | 70\% | 74\% | 81\% | 41\% | 86\% | 78\% | 76\% | 62\% | 56\% | 52\% | 27\% | 12\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| THURSDAY | 39\% | 79\% | 82\% | 93\% | 19\% | 83\% | 65\% | 63\% | 66\% | 51\% | 47\% | 27\% | 14\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| FRIDAY | 31\% | 61\% | 60\% | 55\% | 40\% | 31\% | 19\% | 15\% | 9\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| SATURDAY | 2\% | 5\% | 14\% | 14\% | 12\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| SUNDAY | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |



## Nevada System of Higher Education <br> Instructional Utilization Report <br> PERCENT OF CLASSLABS IN USE PER CAMPUS BY DAY AND TIME <br> Fall 2013 / FY 2014 <br> University of Nevada Reno - Main Campus

| Total Number of Classlabs: 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | For all sections occurring 9/9/2013-9/15/2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAY | 8:000m | 9:00am | 10:00am | 11:00am | 12:00pm | 1:00pm | 2:00pm | 3:00pm | 4:00pm | 5:00pm | 6:00pm | 7:00pm | 8:00pm | 9:00pm | 10:00pm |
| MONDAY | 8\% | 24\% | 19\% | 27\% | 13\% | 39\% | 38\% | 39\% | 23\% | 23\% | 25\% | 17\% | 13\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| TUESDAY | 28\% | 47\% | 48\% | 40\% | 14\% | 52\% | 50\% | 48\% | 35\% | 33\% | 24\% | 15\% | 10\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| WEDNESDAY | 14\% | 27\% | 23\% | 32\% | 18\% | 50\% | 52\% | 52\% | 34\% | 32\% | 33\% | 22\% | 16\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| THURSDAY | 24\% | 41\% | 41\% | 34\% | 16\% | 55\% | 49\% | 48\% | 26\% | 27\% | 20\% | 11\% | 8\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| FRIDAY | 7\% | 14\% | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% | 24\% | 26\% | 24\% | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| SATURDAY | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| SUNDAY | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |



## Question 4: What are the salaries of top administrators and athletic department personnel? How have salaries for these positions changed over the last 10 years?

The assembled tables support several conclusions regarding top administrators and athletic department personnel:

- The number of executive positions at the University is less in 2014 than in 2007.
- During the "Great Recession" the University relied on lower-cost interim appointments to maintain operations.
- In terms of base salaries, not reflecting state-mandated salary reductions and unpaid leave, expenditures for executive leadership are a mere $\$ 100,000$ more in $F Y^{\prime} 14$ than in $F Y^{\prime} 07$. If unpaid leave is taken into account, expenditures on executive positions in FY'14 was less than in FY'07.
- Executive salaries average 15 percent below Q2 on the Executive Salary Charts of the NSHE, despite having several long-serving individuals in their positions. The chart below shows executive salaries. The highlighted cells show a new hire taking the position.
- The Athletic Director salary is at the average of the Mountain West Conference.
- Football Coach and Men's Basketball Coach salaries rank 10 of 12 and 9 of 11 in the Mountain West Conference.

| Position | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provost | 224,139 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 300,000 |  | 300,000 | 301,180 |
| VP Administration \& Finance | 199,419 | 207,505 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 214,970 |
| VP Alumni Rel \& Development | 206,652 | 215,028 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 221,313 |
| VP Institutional Technology | 198,524 | 206,575 | 209,320 | 209,320 | 209,320 |  |  |  |
| VP Health Science Dean UNSOM | 436,508 | 454,041 | 455,871 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 501,180 |
| VP Marketing to ED Marketing | 189,703 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150,000 |
| VP Research to VP RI | 220,141 | 220,141 |  |  |  |  | 290,000 | 291,080 |
| VP Student Services | 199,419 | 207,542 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 215,922 |
| Director of Athletics | 258,570 | 270,546 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 285,000 | 285,885 |
| Dir. of Government Relations | 138,900 | 144,566 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 180,000 | 181,062 |
| Director of Diversity | 161,435 |  |  |  |  |  | 130,000 | 133,540 |
| General Counsel | 154,195 | 160,509 | 164,169 | 164,169 | 164,169 | 190,000 | 190,000 | 194,720 |
| Total Salary, Executive | 2,587,605 | 2,353,461 | 2,165,102 | 1,709,231 | 2,244,231 | 1,760,742 | 2,514,225 | 2,690,852 |

## Men's Basketball Coach Salaries, FY2014

## Team/School

San Diego State
New Mexico
Colorado State
Boise State
Utah State
UNLV
Wyoming
San Jose State
Nevada
Fresno State
Air Force

AVERAGE

|  | Base Salary |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 258,168 |
| $\$$ | 300,000 |
| $\$$ | 928,200 |
| $\$$ | 596,573 |
| $\$$ | 566,638 |
| $\$$ | 300,000 |
| $\$$ | 650,008 |
| $\$$ | 331,008 |
| $\$$ | 300,000 |
| $\$$ | 258,168 |
| $\$$ | 250,000 |

\$430,797

Total Guaranteed Compensation
\$ 951,000
\$ 950,000
\$ 928,200
\$ 738,573
\$ 715,138
\$ 700,000
\$ 650,008
$\$ 403,848$
$\$ 400,960$
$\$ 350,000$
$\$ 250,000$
\$ 639,793

## Football Coach Salaries, FY2014

| Team/School | Base Salary |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fresno State | $\$$ | 685,000 |
| Colorado State | $\$$ | $1,350,000$ |
| Boise State | $\$$ | $1,000,000$ |
| Wyoming | $\$$ | 300,000 |
| Air Force | $\$$ | 825,000 |
| Utah State | $\$$ | 320,000 |
| San Diego State | $\$$ | 210,000 |
| New Mexico | $\$$ | 372,690 |
| Hawaii | $\$$ | 663,843 |
| Nevada | $\$$ | 525,000 |
| San Jose State | $\$$ | 258,168 |
| UNLV | $\$$ | 250,000 |
|  |  |  |
| Average | $\$ 563,308$ |  |

Total Guaranteed Compensation
\$ 1,400,000
\$ 1,350,000
\$ 1,316,600
$\$ \quad 923,000$
\$ 825,000
$\$ 806,434$
\$ 800,000
\$ 772,690
\$ 663,843
\$ 575,000
$\$ 531,000$
\$ 500,000
$\$ 871,964$

## Athletic Director Salaries, FY2014

| Team/School | Base Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Mexico | \$ | 418,675 |
| Utah State | \$ | 415,116 |
| Boise State | \$ | 334,839 |
| San Jose State | \$ | 322,092 |
| Hawaii | \$ | 293,000 |
| Nevada | \$ | 285,855 |
| Fresno State | \$ | 262,789 |
| Colorado State | \$ | 260,000 |
| UNLV | \$ | 240,000 |
| San Diego State | \$ | 229,512 |
| Wyoming | \$ | 200,004 |
| Air Force | \$ | 165,300 |
| Average | \$ | 85,599 |

Question 5: How many courses and credit hours do faculty (tenured, tenure-track, and adjunct) teach per year?

Based on the old state funding formula, the University standards of teaching loads are 18 credits per year for units with a BS/BA degree offered; 15 credits per year for units with a MS degree offered; 12 credits per year for units with a PhD degree offered. Full-time instructors are expected to teach 24 credits per year. The table attached shows the application of standards in the various colleges. Some research-oriented units have lower per faculty class loads due to competitive reasons, especially in the sciences. Some adjustments in number of courses are made to reflect class enrollment and other special circumstances.

The course load of faculty throughout the University is higher than for nearly any Carnegie RU/VH institution. One purpose of driving the Student-to-Faculty ratio down is to lighten the course loads for research-active faculty so they can operate in a professional environment similar to those of Carnegie RU/VH institutions with more productive research programs.

Teaching Loads for Three-Credit Hour Equivalent Courses, 2013-14.

|  |  | TT faculty | Lecturers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CABNR |  | 2:2 or 2:3 | 4:4 |
| Business |  | 3:2 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4:4 |
| Education |  | 3:2 | 4:4 |
| Engineering ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | $2: 1^{\text {b }} 2: 2^{\text {c }} 3: 3^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| DHS | CHS | 2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | SW | 3:2 |  |
|  | CASAT | 3:2 | 3:3 or 3:4 |
| Journalism ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | 3:2 | 4:4 |
| Liberal Arts | Anthropology | 3:2-2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Art | 3:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Basque Studies | 1:1 | 4:4 |
|  | Communication Studies | 3:3 | 4:4 |
|  | Criminal Justice | 3:2 | 4:4 |
|  | English | 2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | History | 2:2 (4.5) | 4:4 |
|  | Music | 3:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Philosophy | 3:3 | 4:4 |
|  | Political Science | 2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Psychology | 2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Sociology | 2:2 | 4:4 |
|  | Theater and Dance | 3:3 | 4:4 |
|  | World Languages and Literatures Spanish : French | 3:2-3:3 | 4:4 |
| Science | Biology | 1:1 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Chemistry | 1:1 to 1:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Geography | 2:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Geol Sci Eng | 2:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Math and State | 2:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Mining Eng | 2:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |
|  | Physics | 1:1 to 1:2 | 2:2 to 3:3 |

## Question 6: How do students perform on nationally-normed tests? GRE and licensure exams?

The table attached shows that passage rates for professional licensure examinations is above national averages in nursing, nutrition, CPA, psychology, social work, education and some engineering fields. Performance is less than national averages in some engineering fields.


ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering -
Engineering Chemical 100\%
Engineering ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering - Civil 53\%

ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering Engineering Electrical

ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering Engineering Environmental

ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering Engineering Geological/Geophysical

ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering Engineering Mechanical 45\%

ABET Priciples and Practice of Engineering -
Engineering Mining/Mineral 100\%
Education Praxis I Mathematics 100\%
Education Praxis I Writing 100\%

Education,
School
Counseling

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 75\% 2014.

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 71\% 2014.

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 64\% 2014.

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 22\% 2014.

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 73\% 2014.

2014 PE Exam for graduated engineers, usually with work experience who already passed FE exam. Comparison is ABET data for 89\% 2014.

Average for 2011-2013.
Average for 2011-2013.

Spring 2014

## Question 7: What do the results of the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) show about our institution?

Students at the University align with the national norm in all categories except "campus environment." Specifically, freshmen had a low response rating with regard to interaction with others and the quality of interactions. The responses improved as the student reached senior status. This trend toward more interactions and higher quality interactions has been a pattern for our students in past NSSE surveys.
Students also indicate they are engaging in diversity discussions with others but still would like more support in the campus environment. This is similar to national trends.

In academic engagement (strategies for learning), university students also align with the national norm for firstyear students but are below that norm for seniors (see A below), and in student engagement with faculty students align with that norm for both groups of students (see B below).
(A) In terms of academic engagement, not surprisingly many of the 'high-end' learning strategies (e.g., applied learning, reflection on previous courses, and critical analysis) are employed by seniors more than first-year students. Our seniors show particular gains in integrating knowledge learned in previous courses and synthesizing ideas from various courses, as well as connecting course issues to societal ones.
(B) In terms of student engagement with faculty, although not nearly as many seniors responded that they rely on such engagement as they do with the learning strategies above, they're clearly more active in talking about career plans with faculty members and keener to talk about the course material and assignments as seniors than they were as first-years.

Question 8: What are the four-year, five-year, and six-year graduation rates of our first-time, full-time students for the last five years? If full-time students are not graduating in four years, why not?

The chart of graduations rates over several years shows an upward trend in six-year rates with a dip in the 2007 cohort. This is largely due to the "Great Recession" causing many students to return to the home areas to pursue the remainder of their education (reduced retention rates in 2010 and 2011). There is significant growth in the four-year graduation rate. One note of encouragement is the fact that head-count enrollment rose 6.2 percent from fall, 2013 to fall, 2014 while full-time equivalent growth was 9.5 percent over the same period, indicating that students are taking more courses on their way to graduation.

No. 8: Number of 4-, 5-, and 6- Graduation Rates


No. 8: Number of 4-, 5-, and 6- Graduates


Question 9: When was the most recent campus climate survey on academic freedom and intellectual diversity?

Climate studies have been done with focus on morale, diversity, student sexual conduct and campus safety, but specific questions related to impressions of academic freedom and intellectual diversity have not been surveyed.

Question 10: How do we choose for ourselves board professional development and independent sources of information on national trends?

This is a question directed to the Board of Regents.

## Issues:

## A. Faculty, Staff and Executive Salaries

Base faculty, staff and executive salaries have been largely stagnant for six years with 2.5 percent merit this year. The impact of salary stagnation has been to cause a non-competitive position in the marketplace for academic talent. It is increasingly difficult to attract and retain energetic employees as salaries do not keep pace with national trends or provide a prospect for competitive compensation.

Quintile Rankings for UNR on Salary and from Selected Years: AAUP's Annual Report on the Economic Status of the Profession

|  | Prof. | Assoc. Prof. | Asst. Prof. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1997-98$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $1998-99$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $1999-00$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2000-01$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2001-02$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2002-03$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| $2003-04$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2004-05$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2005-06$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| $2006-07$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2007-08$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2008-09$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $2009-10$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $2010-11$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $2011-12$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2012-13$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2013-14$ | 4 | 3 | 4 |

## B. Graduate Education

While the number of undergraduate students continues to accelerate, the number of graduate students has stagnated. Graduate students are important to expand undergraduate teaching capacity, perform research, and develop a profile representative of a Carnegie RU/VH. In the last two years the University has created 50 new Graduate Teaching Assistant positions and raised stipends to a base level of $\$ 16,500$, an increase of $\$ 2,500$ per year.

Number of Graduates (Degrees Granted 2010-2014)


## C. Faculty Capacity

To achieve university objectives is estimated to require 420 additional faculty positions, 315 additional graduate student positions, 80 classified positions and number administrative faculty. These estimates have been crafted with careful analysis.

## D. Quantity and Quality of Research and Office Space

Successful university programs require quality people, program support and facilities. Many university projects have been identified to add and renovate quality space. Most of these projects are self-financed with student fees, rents, and reallocation of property and other resources. The most critical need is for more quality research space. For example, the University has put its top priority for the next state-supported building on a new engineering building. Engineering is the fastest growing college in terms of enrollment and new faculty positions. The lack of quality and quantity of space has become a central element of recruiting and retaining faculty and students. Engineering also is a fundamental field for development of professional workforce to support the Governor's focus on advanced manufacturing for economic development for northern Nevada.


## TODAY'S LAND-GRANT UNIVERSITY

## Vision:

"The University of Nevada, Reno is an internationally-respected, high-quality, accessible, arts and sciences university, 4. filly engaged with Nevada's citizens, communities, and governments to improve economic and social progress."


University of Nevada, Reno

## UNIVERSITY RANKINGS SNAPSHOT



Top Tier - U.S. News \& World Report

Classified by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching as a comprehensive, doctoral granting, arts and science/professions balanced, high research institution.

## Best Value -

- Student loan debt for University graduates below national average
- Ranked $17^{\text {th }}$ nationally and $9^{\text {th }}$ among western universities for value*
- Students receive ROI of \$329,800 over 20 years**


## UNIVERSITY AS ABUSINESS

- Expenditures of more than \$500 million annually
- Headquarters in Reno
- 4,250 employees - second-largest in City of Reno*
- $\$ 89$ million in externally funded grants and research produced annually

[^0]
## High-Performing University Record Metrics from Fall 2014

- Enrollment: 19,934
- Freshman to sophomore retention rate: $82.2 \%$ *
- Average freshman ACT: 23.4
- Average credit load: 13.4
- Enrollment percentage of color: 34\%
- Enrollment percentage Hispanic: 17\%
- National Merit, National Achievement and Presidential Scholars: 309


High-Performing University - Record Metrics

- 6-year graduation rate: 2008 cohort 55\%
- 4-year graduation rate: 2010 cohort $22.6 \%$
- Number of degrees awarded: 3,528* (second highest after AY2012-13 at 3,549)
- Courses attempted and completed with a passing grade: 95\%*
- Grant and Contract expenditures: $\$ 89$ million in FY'14, (a record in years without federal earmarks)
- Philanthropic giving: \$54 million in FY '14



## BIG CHALLENGES

- Faculty Salaries
- Graduate Enrollment
- Student to Faculty Ratio
- Space Quality and Quantity



## BIG GOALS

## Student faculty ratio 18 to 1

Estimate 22,000 students by fall 2016
Reach Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching classification of RU/VH or "Research University, Very High" within the next seven years

University as an economic development pillar


No. 1: Tuition over the last five years

*Bureau of Labor Statistics
**US Census Bureau

No. 2: Ratio of administrative vs. instructional spending over last 10 years.

INSTRUCTION/ACADEMIC SUPPORT/STUDENT SERVICES VS.
ADMINISTRATIVE SPENDING OVER 10 YEARS

120,000,000

110,000,000

100,000,000

90,000,000

80,000,000
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60,000,000
_INSTRUCTION/ACADEMIC SUPPORT/STUDENT SERVICES

- ADMINISTRATIVE

50,000,000


62,294,045


| FY06 | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No. 3: Building utilization, Monday through Saturday, and during summer


No. 3: Building utilization, Monday through Saturday, and during summer


## No. 4: Salaries of top administrators and athletic personnel

| Position | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provost | 224,139 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 265,000 | 300,000 |  | 300,000 | 301,180 |
| VP Administration \& Finance | 199,419 | 207,505 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 210,250 | 214,970 |
| VP Alumni Rel \& Development | 206,652 | 215,028 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 217,773 | 221,313 |
| VP Institutional Technology | 198,524 | 206,575 | 209,320 | 209,320 | 209,320 |  |  |  |
| VP Health Science Dean UNSOM | 436,508 | 454,041 | 455,871 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 501,180 |
| VP Marketing to ED Marketing | 189,703 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150,000 |
| VP Research to VP Research \& Innovation | 220,141 | 220,141 |  |  |  |  | 290,000 | 291,080 |
| VP Student Services | 199,419 | 207,542 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 211,202 | 215,922 |
| Director of Athletics | 258,570 | 270,546 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 284,206 | 285,000 | 285,885 |
| Dir. of Gov't Relations to ED Gov't \& External Relations | 138,900 | 144,566 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 147,311 | 180,000 | 181,062 |
| Director of Diversity | 161,435 |  |  |  |  |  | 130,000 | 133,540 |
| General Counsel | 154,195 | 160,509 | 164,169 | 164,169 | 164,169 | 190,000 | 190,000 | 194,720 |
| Total Salary, Executive | 2,587,605 | 2,353,461 | 2,165,102 | 1,709,231 | 2,244,231 | 1,760,742 | 2,514,225 | 2,690,852 |



No. 4: Salaries of top administrators and athletic personnel

| Athletic Directors' Salaries |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School | Base Salary |  |
| New Mexico | $\$$ | 418,675 |
| Utah State | $\$$ | 415,116 |
| Boise State | $\$$ | 334,839 |
| San Jose State | $\$$ | 322,092 |
| Hawaii | $\$$ | 293,000 |
| Nevada | $\$$ | 285,855 |
| Fresno State | $\$$ | 262,789 |
| Colorado State | $\$$ | 260,000 |
| UNLV | $\$$ | 240,000 |
| San Diego State | $\$$ | 229,512 |
| Wyoming | $\$$ | 200,004 |
| Air Force | $\$$ | 165,300 |
|  | $\$$ | 285,599 |
| Average |  |  |

No. 4: Salaries of top administrators and athletic personnel

| Football Coach's Salaries |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Base Salary |  | Total Compensation |  |
| Fresno State | \$ | 685,000 | \$ | 1,400,000 |
| Colorado State | \$ | 1,350,000 | \$ | 1,350,000 |
| Boise State | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 1,316,600 |
| Wyoming | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | 923,000 |
| Air Force | \$ | 825,000 | \$ | 825,000 |
| Utah State | \$ | 320,000 | \$ | 806,434 |
| San Diego State | \$ | 210,000 | \$ | 800,000 |
| New Mexico | \$ | 372,690 | \$ | 772,690 |
| Hawaii | \$ | 663,843 | \$ | 663,843 |
| Nevada | \$ | 525,000 | \$ | 575,000 |
| San Jose State | \$ | 258,168 | \$ | 531,000 |
| UNLV | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 500,000 |
| Average | \$ | 563,308 | \$ | 871,964 |



## No. 4: Salaries of top administrators

 and athletic personnel| Men's Basketball Coach's Salaries |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Base Salary |  | Total Compensation |  |
| San Diego State | \$ | 258,168 | \$ | 951,000 |
| New Mexico | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | 950,000 |
| Colorado State | \$ | 928,200 | \$ | 928,200 |
| Boise State | \$ | 596,573 | \$ | 738,573 |
| Utah State | \$ | 566,638 | \$ | 715,138 |
| UNLV | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | 700,000 |
| Wyoming | \$ | 650,008 | \$ | 650,008 |
| San Jose State | \$ | 331,008 | \$ | 403,848 |
| Nevada | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | 400,960 |
| Fresno State | \$ | 258,168 | \$ | 350,000 |
| Air Force | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 250,000 |
| Average | \$ | 430,797 | \$ | 639,793 |



No. 5: How many courses and credit hours do faculty teach per year?

- 18 credits per year for units with a BS/BA degree
- 15 credits per year for units with a MS degree
- 12 credits per year for units with a PhD degree
- Instructors are expected to teach 24 credits per year


No. 6: How do students perform on nationally-normed tests? GRE and Licensure exams?

- Advanced Degree Business
- Clinical Psychology
- Education
- Engineering
- Nursing
- Nutrition
- Social Work


## No. 7: What do the results of the National Survey of Student Engagement show about the institution?

- University aligns with national norm on all categories except "campus environment."
- Trend is for freshmen students to have less interaction and less quality interactions; this improves over time, with response rate by seniors being much higher in these areas.
- Students are engaging in diversity discussions but would still like more support in the campus environment (similar to national trends)
- Seniors show more of a propensity for "high-end" learning strategies for applied learning, reflection on previous courses and critical analysis.
- Seniors show particular gains in integrating knowledge learned in previous courses, synthesizing ideas and connecting course issues to societal ones.
- Senior students indicated they are more active in talking about career plans with faculty members and were more likely to talk about course material and assignments than first-year students.



## No. 7: Student Engagement

- Concrete Canoe Team
- Debate Team
- Neuroscience Illusion of the Year Team
- USAC
- Maker Space


No. 8: Graduation Rates

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | 17,433 | 18,004 | 18,227 | 18,776 | 19,934 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retention, 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $79 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

No. 8: 4-, 5-, and 6-Year Graduation rates


No. 8: Number of 4-, 5-, and 6-Year Graduates


## NUMBER OF GRADUATES

(Degrees Granted 2010-2014)


## BIG GOALS

## Student faculty ratio 18 to 1

Estimate 22,000 students by fall 2016
Reach Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching classification of RU/VH or "Research University, Very High" within the next seven years

University as an economic development pillar


## ENROLLMENT



## STUDENT TO FACULTY RATIO




## ENROLLMENT



## N



## BIG CHALLENGES

- Faculty Salaries
- Graduate Enrollment
- Student to Faculty Ratio
- Space Quality and Quantity




## Quintile Rankings for Faculty Salaries

| Year | Professor | Associate <br> Professor | Assistant <br> Professor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1997-98$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $1998-99$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $1999-00$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2000-01$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2001-02$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2002-03$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| $2003-04$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2004-05$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2005-06$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| $2006-07$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2007-08$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2008-09$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $2009-10$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $2010-11$ | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $2011-12$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2012-13$ | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $2013-14$ | 4 | 3 | 4 |

## NUMBER OF GRADUATES

(Degrees Granted 2010-2014)


Addressing student to faculty ratio

Faculty, Staff and Student Investments:

- 420 faculty (at least 300 tenure-track) in the next 6 years
- 315 GTAs
- 80 classified staff
- Many administrative faculty




## CAPACITY FOR GROWTH

## Results of Capacity Study:

- Sufficient classroom and teaching lab space
- Need 500 new offices and 80,000 square ft. in research lab space
- Add 420 tenure track faculty position
- Add 315 graduate teaching assistants
- Add 80 classified and support positions

Revenues from increased tuition and fees, and state appropriations are needed to maintain the desired faculty student ratio and augment support units.

## ACADEMIC CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS - Self Financed

Church Fine Arts Renovation - Completion 2014
Earthquake Engineering Lab - Completion 2014
InNevation Center - Completion 2015
Savitt Library Renovation—Completion 2015


William N. Pennington Student Achievement Center - Completion 2016 Thompson Hall Repurpose - 2016
Lincoln Hall Renovation—Completion 2016
E. L. Wiegand Fitness Center - Completion 2017

Palmer and Scrugham Engineering Buildings Renovation - TBD Fine Arts Building - TBD
Lombardi Recreation Renovation - TBD
Engineering and Science Building* - TBD


## ATHLETIC CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS Self-Financed

Mackay Stadium Renovation - 2016
Athletic Fieldhouse - TBD

## RESIDENTIAL HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS Self-Funded

Graduate and Family Housing - Completion 2014
Peavine Hall - Completion 2015
Residence Hall 1 - Fall 2017
Residence Hall 2 - Fall 2019


University of Nevada, Reno


## RESIDENCE HALL CAPACITY

Increasing bed count from 2,372 in fall 2013 to approximately 3,150 beds in fall 2020.

Opening Peavine Hall, a 400-bed facility in fall 2015

Closing Manzanita (97), Lincoln (73) and White Pine (156) in June 2015

Opening Residence Halls, 350-bed facilities in fall 2017 and fall 2019

New residence halls are self-supporting



## PATH TO THE FUTURE

Sharing our success:
Building a reputation for quality in every aspect of our mission ...

As we build reputation, we reflect to the world a reputation of quality for our state

Our abiding values:

- Our students will obtain a quality education
- Our faculty and students will discover and apply new knowledge
- Our people will share this knowledge with the citizens of Nevada and the world



## University of Nevada, Reno


[^0]:    * According to 2013 City of Reno Financial Report

